

T is for **Thinking** The ICYouSee Guide to Critical Thinking About What You See on the Web

Evaluation Checklist

Name of source:

| Criteria | Questions | Yes | No | ??? |
|--------------|---|-----|----|-----|
| Authority: | 1. Do you think the authors have authority or expertise to | | | |
| | write on the subject they are writing about? | | | |
| | 2. Is it clear who is responsible for the site (commercial | | | |
| | enterprise, government body, academic institution, or just the author)? | | | |
| Accuracy: | 1. Do you have good reason to believe that the information | | | |
| v | on the site is accurate? | | | |
| | 2. Do authors back up their conclusions with supportive evidence and documentation? | | | |
| Objectivity: | 1. Is the purpose of the information clearly stated? | | | |
| | 2. Can you determine the author's point of view? | | | |
| | 3. Did you detect any biases (personal, political, religious, or cultural)? | | | |
| Currency: | 1. Was the information found on the page written recently? | | | |
| | 2. Has the site been kept up-to-date? For example, do the links still work? | | | |
| Coverage: | 1. Does this site address the topic you are researching? | | | |
| | 2. Is the information presented substantial (something more | | | |
| | in depth than you would find in an encyclopedia or Wiki)? | | | |
| Value: | 1. Does the site offer anything informative, unique, or insightful? | | | |
| | 2. Is the site free of careless errors, misspelled words, and | | | |
| | poor grammar? | | | |
| | 3. Are their important issues that have been omitted or still | | | |
| | need to be addressed? | | | |
| | 4. Was the page worth visiting? | | | |

In the remaining space, please summarize your analysis and evaluation of the site. Use the back if you wish to be more thorough.